

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR TI-28881 9182 09/643,499 08/22/2000 Robert Floyd Payne **EXAMINER** 23494 05/14/2004 7590 TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED LE, AMANDA T P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER DALLAS, TX 75265 2634 DATE MAILED: 05/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/643,499	PAYNE, ROBERT FLOYD
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Amanda T Le	2634
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>After Final Rejection</u>		
(1) <u>Amanda_T Le</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Bret J. Petersen</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 10 May 2004	Time: <u>6 P.M.</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
		<u>.</u>
Amardate		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner notifies Mr. Petersen that the AF Amendment filed on 04/20/04 is not responsive the Final Office Action mailed on 04/06/04. It is rather responsive to the Office Action of 11/03/2003. Examiner asks for a copy of an amendment responsive to the Final Office Action be faxed to the Offical Fax number.